

Fast Five: A Research Showcase





Presenter Disclosure

- I have no current or past relationships with commercial entities
- I have received no speaker's fee for this learning activity

Commercial Support Disclosure

 This program has received no financial or in-kind support from any commercial or other organization

Outline

- CSHP AB Branch Initiative
- 'Fast Five' Showcase







Anticoagulants in Obesity





Anticoagulant Therapies and Outcomes in Obese Patients with acute DVT

By: Steven Quan, Jenna Smith, Cynthia Wu, Sheri Koshman, Binh Nguyen, Tammy Bungard

- International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) suggests against the use of DOACs in BMI • >50 kg/m² or >120kg due to limited clinical data
- Retrospective chart review from 2014 to 2017 in patients discharged with an acute DVT or PE
 - 187 patients included with a weight >120kg (median: 140kg)
 - Primary Outcome: overall rate of VTE at 1 year -> 0.006 events/patient year
- ~60% on a DOAC in first 3 months; ~30% of patients had therapy switches
- Similar rates of bleeding between DOACs and 'traditional' therapy

Best available clinical data does not suggest worse outcomes in comparison to warfarin or 'normal' body weights in VTE (up to ~140kg)

Bottom Line:

Quan S, et al in Thrombosis Research, 2020;187:56-62.





GI Bleeds & Vitamin K Use



Re-bleeding in Variceal and Non-variceal GI Bleeds in Cirrhotic Patients using Vitamin K1

By: Duane Bates, Jenny Edwards, Ashten Langevin, Adrian Abu-Ulba, Faith Yallou, Ben Wilson and Sunita Ghosh

- Most common cause of UGIB in cirrhosis patients is gastroesophageal varices
- Retrospective study in Calgary from Jan 1, 2014 to December 31, 2016
 - Primary objective: describe incidence of rebreeding at 30d
 - Included 243 patients who received vitamin K vs. 127 patients did not
- Most common dose: 10mg IV or PO

White vitamin K may correct abnormal coagulation tests, this study suggests vitamin K1 does not reduce the incidence of re-bleeding at 30d.

• Rate of re-bleeding within 30d: 16.5% in vitamin K vs. 5.5% in non-vitamin K (p=0.003)

Bottom Line:



Rifampin & Warfarin





A Case Series of the Rifampin-Warfarin Drug Interaction

- Rifampin induces numerous CYP enzymes which include those involved in warfarin metabolism •
- Retrospective review from 2005 to 2019 including 10 patients managed by the Anticoagulation Clinic in Edmonton
- Majority of patients were mechanical valve replacements and received rifampin 900mg/d for endocarditis treatment or prophylaxis
- Overall warfarin dose increase of 165% at 30 days with onset; median decrease of 67% at offset by 4 wks
- INR monitoring twice per week during the first few weeks of onset/offset •
- Most returned to baseline warfarin dose (3/8 required higher requirements post-rifampin)

Bottom Line:

Rifampin-Warfarin drug interactions require close monitoring in order to manage warfarin dose requirements.

By: Charlotte Yang, Rosaleen Boswell, Tammy J Bungard

Yang C, et al in Eur J Clin Pharm, 2021;(77):341-348.





Practical Warfarin Dosing Recommendations

Onset phase

- Week 1—if hospitalized, use daily INRs to guide warfarin dosing, rather than empiric reductions
- In the outpatient setting, monitor INRs at least twice a week in week 1 and 2, then weekly until warfarin steady state achieved
- Week 1 to 2—anticipate warfarin dose increases from 30 to 80%.
- Week 2 to 4—anticipate more variability with increase warfarin doses, with those having lower proportionate increases week 1 to 2 requiring greater proportionate increases, with a range of 20–100% increase
- Warfarin steady state should be achieved at 4 weeks, with a ~150% increase in warfarin from baseline, although this may take longer if long hospitalization or more acute illness
- Offset phase
- Monitor INRs at least twice per week at week 1 and 2, then up to weekly by week 4
- Week 1—empirically decrease warfarin dose by 15–25%
- Week 2—empirically decrease warfarin dose by 15–25%
- Weeks 3 and 4—anticipate decreases in warfarin by a further 20%
- Warfarin steady state should be achieved at 4 weeks, with a ~67% decrease in dose, although this may take up to 8–9 weeks in some patients
- Following this DDI, some patients may require a higher baseline warfarin dose than their pre-rifampin baseline warfarin dose, with a range of 10–50%





ASA for Primary Prevention



Use of low-dose ASA for CVD prevention

- ASA is recommended in patients with <u>established</u> CVD (secondary prevention)
- Variable ASA doses, treatment duration and potential for CV r
- 3 RCTs investigated ASA in patients without CVD
 - In patients >70Y, ASA did not reduce CVD events but increased bleeding (ASPREE)
 - In patients >40Y + T2DM, ASA reduced CV events but increased risk of major bleeding (ASCEND)
 - In patient at intermediate CV risk, ASA did not reduced CVD with increased GI bleeding

Bottom Line: In general, ASA is not beneficial for prevention of CV events in patients without CVD. Shared decision making is encouraged to discuss the benefits and risks of ASA in primary prevention.

By: Arden Barry, William Semchuk, Ann Thompson, Marlys LeBras, Sheri Koshman

Barry AR et al, Can Pharm J (Ott). 2020;153(3):153-160.



Step-wise Algorithm to Assess the Appropriateness of ASA







Patient Factors Associated with Prescribing Iron for IV Administration

By: Thomas Brownlee, Deonne Dersch-Mills, Ginny Cummings, Tanya Fischer, Rhonda Shkrobot, Jeremy Slobodan & Jenny Wichart

- \bullet
- \bullet
- Retrospective review from March 1-December 31, 2018 included 1352 patients \bullet
 - 97% received iron sucrose 300mg per infusion
 - Before first infusion: median Hb 92, MCV 81, Ferritin 18
 - 17.2% had oral iron dispensed within 90d before first IV iron dose

Half of included patients met the lab criteria for diagnosis of IDA per the 'Toward Optimized Practice'. Educational tools and stewardship initiatives are needed to ensure optimal prescribing.

Expenditures in AB of IV iron have increased and represent ~5% of the annual acute care drug budget

Primary Objective: describe the population who IV iron was dispensed from from acute care facilities

Bottom Line:

Brownlee T et al, CJHP. 2021;74(1):50-56.

Thank You!



emily.cowley@albertahealthservices.ca

